

Certain Orthogonality Conditions for Finite Elementary Operators in Normed Spaces

Obogi Robert Karioko ✉

Department of Mathematics and Actuarial Science, Kisii University, Kenya

ABSTRACT. Let H be an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on H . In this paper we give Certain Orthogonality Conditions for Finite Elementary Operators in Normed Spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on H . In this paper, We consider finiteness of elementary operators, orthogonality conditions for finite elementary operators and Birkhoff-James orthogonality for finite elementary operators. The following definitions are fundamental in the sequel:

Definition 1.1. Let X be a linear space over \mathbb{F} . Then a norm on X is a non-negative real-valued function $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall w, z \in X$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{F}$ the following properties are satisfied:

- (i). $\|w\| \geq 0$ and $\|w\| = 0$, if and only if $w = 0$.
- (ii). $\|\eta w\| = |\eta| \|w\|$.
- (iii). $\|w + z\| \leq \|w\| + \|z\|$

The ordered pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a normed space.

Definition 1.2. A Banach space is a complete normed linear space.

Definition 1.3. Suppose Z is a real or complex valued vector space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then X is an inner product space.

Definition 1.4. A Hilbert space H is a complete inner product space.

Definition 1.5. Two vectors $w, z \in H$ are called orthogonal, denoted by $w \perp z$ if $\langle w, z \rangle = 0$.

Definition 1.6. Consider a normed space \mathcal{D} and let $T: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. T is said to be an elementary operator if it can be represented in the following form $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i X P_i$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$ where S_i and P_i are fixed in \mathcal{D} .

Date submitted: 2023-03-07.

Key words and phrases. Normed spaces, Orthogonality, Finite Elementary Operators.

Example 1.7. Let $S = L(Z)$ for $S, P \in L(Z)$ we define particular elementary operator.

- (i). The left multiplication operator $L_S : L(Z) \rightarrow L(Z)$ by $L_S(X) = SX, \forall X \in L(Z)$.
- (ii). The right multiplication operator $R_P : L(Z) \rightarrow L(Z)$ by $R_P(X) = XP, \forall X \in L(Z)$.
- (iii). The generalized derivation by $\delta_{S,P} = L_S - R_P$.
- (iv). The basic elementary operator by $M_{S,P}(X) = SXP, \forall X \in L(Z)$.
- (iv). The Jordan elementary operator by $\mu_{S,P}(X) = SXP + PXS, \forall X \in L(Z)$.

Definition 1.8. The range of an operator $P : L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ is defined as $Ran(T) = \{y \in L(H) : y = T(x) \forall x \in L(H)\}$.

Definition 1.9. The kernel of an operator $T : L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ is defined as $Ker(T) = \{x \in L(H) : T(x) = 0 \forall x \in L(H)\}$.

Definition 1.10. A bounded linear operator S on a Hilbert space H is called finite if $\|I - SX - XS\| \geq 1$ for each $X \in L(H)$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section, We consider finiteness of elementary operators on normed spaces.

Proposition 2.1. Let Ω be a normed space, then for $S \in \Omega$, $\sigma_p(S) \neq \emptyset$ if S is normaloid.

Proof. Let $S \in \Omega$ be normaloid, then $\|S\| = r(S)$. This implies that there exist $\lambda \in \sigma_p(S)$ such that $|\lambda| = \|S\|$. It is known that $\sigma_p(S) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}(S) \subseteq \sigma(S)$. Therefore, $\sigma_p(S) = \sigma_{ap}(S)$. But λ is in the boundary of $\sigma_p(S)$ and since this is a subset of the approximate point spectrum of S , we have that $\lambda \in \sigma_p(S) = \sigma_{ap}(S)$. But for a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unit vectors we have, $\|(S - \lambda I)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$. So $0 \in \sigma_p(S)$ and hence $\sigma_p(S) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Proposition 2.2. Every normaloid operator is finite.

Proof. From Proposition 2.1, we have that $\sigma_p(S) \neq \emptyset$ if S is normaloid. To show that every normaloid operator is finite, we let S to be a normaloid operator, i.e $\|S\| = r(S)$. Hence, there exist $\lambda \in \sigma_p(S)$ such that $|\lambda| = \|S\|$. By definition, an operator S in a normed space Ω is finite if $\|SX - XS - I\| \geq 1$, for all $X \in \Omega$. But $\|(S - \lambda I)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ with $\|x_n\| = 1$. Since x_n is a normalized sequence we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|SX - XS - I\| &= \|(S - \lambda I)X - X(S - \lambda I) - I\| \\
 &\geq |\langle (S - \lambda I)X_{x_n, x_n} \rangle - \langle X(S - \lambda I)_{x_n, x_n} \rangle - I| \\
 &\geq |\langle (S - \lambda I)X - X(S - \lambda I) \rangle_{x_n, x_n} - I| \\
 &\geq |\langle (SX - XS)_{x_n, x_n} \rangle - I|
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\|SX - XS - I\| \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 2.3. Let $S \in \Omega$ be normaloid and $S_o \in \Omega$ be norm-attainable such that $SS_o = S_oS$. Then for every $\eta \in \sigma_p(S_o)$, $\|S_o - (SX - XS)\| \geq |\eta| \forall X \in \Omega$.

Proof. From [71], if $S_o \in \Omega$ is norm-attainable, then it is normal. So, we let $\eta \in \sigma_p(S_o)$ and M_η be the eigenspace associated with η . Since $SS_o = S_oS$, we have $SS_o^* = S_o^*S$ by Fulglede Putnam's theorem [41]. Hence M_η reduces both S and S_o . According to the decomposition of $H = M_\eta \oplus M_\eta^\perp$, we write S , S_o and X as follows:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S_1 \end{pmatrix}, S_o = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_o - (SX - XS)\| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \eta - S_1X_1 - X_1S_1 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \|\eta - S_1X_1 - X_1S_1\| \\ &\geq |\eta| \left\| S_1\left(\frac{X_1}{\eta}\right) - \left(\frac{X_1}{\eta}\right)S_1 \right\| \\ &\geq |\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *Every paranormal operator S in a C^* algebra Ω is finite.*

Proof. Let S be a paranormal operator, then S is normal i.e $S^*S = SS^*$. By Berberian theorem, it is known that, there exist a $*$ -isometric isomorphism $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ that preserves order; So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S\|^2 = \|SS^*\| = 1 &\leq \|(SX - XS) - I\| \\ &\leq \|\psi(SX - XS) - I\| \\ &\leq \|\psi(S)\psi(X) - \psi(X)\psi(S) - I\| \end{aligned}$$

If $S \in \Omega$ is an element of $F(H)$ such that $\sigma_p(S) \neq \emptyset$ then it results from Proposition 4.2 that $\psi(S) \in \Omega$ is finite i.e

$$\|SX - XS - I\| = \|\psi(S)\psi(X) - \psi(X)\psi(S) - I\| \geq 1.$$

□

Theorem 2.5. *Let $S \in \Omega$ be norm-attainable. Then $J = S + P$ is finite where P is compact in a C^* -algebra Ω .*

Proof. Let S be norm-attainable, since Ω is a C^* -algebra, it follows that $J = S + P$ is finite and we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J\|^2 = \|JJ^*\| = 1 &\leq \|(JX - XJ) - I\| \\ &\leq \|(SX - XS) - I\| \\ &\leq \|(SX + PP^{-1} - XS + P^{-1}P) - I\| \\ &\leq \|(S + P)(X + P^{-1}) - (X + P^{-1})(S + P) - I\|. \end{aligned}$$

For $Y = X + P^{-1}$ we have, $\|(S + P)Y - Y(S + P) - I\| \geq 1$. This proves that $J = S + P$ is a finite operator. □

Corollax 2.6. Let $S \in \Omega$ be log-hyponormal and S^* be p -hyponormal then $\|J - (SX - XS_o)\| \geq \|J\|$ for all $X \in \Omega$ and for all $J \in \ker \delta_{S,S_o}$.

Proof. If $J \in \ker \delta_{S,S_o}$, then also $J \in \ker \delta_{S^*,S_o^*}$ by Putnam-Fuglede's theorem [41]. Therefore, $SJJ^* = JS_o^* = JJ^*S$. Since S is log-hyponormal, JJ^* is normal and $S(JJ^*) = (JJ^*)S$. Since $X \in \Omega$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J\|^2 = \|JJ^*\| &\leq \|JJ^* - SXJ^* - XJ^*S\| \\ &\leq \|JJ^* - SXJ^* - XS_oJ^*\| \\ &\leq \|J^*\| \|J - (SX - XS_o)\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|J\|^2 = \|J\| \|J^*\| \leq \|J^*\| \|J - (SX - XS_o)\|$.

Dividing both sides by $\|J^*\|$ we obtain,

$$\|J\| \leq \|J - (SX - XS_o)\|.$$

□

3. MAIN RESULTS

Remark 3.1. At this point, we characterize finiteness of elementary operators in a general set up. Let $\mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o)$ be the set of all $(S, S_o) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ such that S and S_o have an n -dimensional reducing subspace $J_n(S, S_o)$ satisfying $S \upharpoonright J_n(S, S_o) = S_o \upharpoonright J_n(S, S_o)$.

Now we characterize finiteness in the cartesian product of $\Omega \times \Omega$ in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.2. Let $(S, S_o) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o)$. Then $\|(SX - XS_o) - I\| \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $S_o = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the matrix representation of S and S_o respectively relative to the decomposition $H = H_1 \oplus H_1^\perp$ where H_1 is an n -dimensional reducing subspace of S and S_o i.e $H_1 = J_n(S, S_o)$. For any operator X on H has a representation $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $K = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where $K = I$. If we take $S_1X_1 - X_1S_2 = 0$, an easy calculation shows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(SX - XS_o) - I\| &= \left\| \left[\begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} X_1S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1 - X_1S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (S_1X_1 - X_1S_2) - K_1 & K_2 \\ K_2 & K_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \|K\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|(SX - XS_o) - I\| \geq \|K\|$ but since $K = I$ we have, $\|(SX - XS_o) - I\| \geq \|I\|$. Hence $\|(SX - XS_o) - I\| \geq 1$. □

Proposition 3.3. Let $(S, S_o) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o)$. Then $\|SXS_o - I\| \geq 1$.

Proof. Let S, S_o, X and K have the following representation: $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $S_o = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where $K = I$. Let $S_1X_1S_2 = 0$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|SXS_o - I\| &= \left\| \left[\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (S_1X_1S_2) - K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \|K\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|SXS_o - I\| \geq \|K\|$ but since $K = I$ we have, $\|SXS_o - I\| \geq \|I\|$. Hence $\|SXS_o - I\| \geq 1$. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $(S, S_o) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o)$. Then $\|(SXS_o + S_oXS) - I\| \geq 1$.

Proof. Let S, S_o, X , and K have the following representation[decomposition] $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $S_o = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where $K = I$ and Let $S_1X_1S_2 + S_2X_1S_1 = 0$. We show that $\|(SXS_o + S_oXS) - I\| \geq 1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(SXS_o + S_oXS) - I\| &= \left\| \left[\begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S_2X_1S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1S_2 + S_2X_1S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (S_1X_1S_2 + S_2X_1S_1) - K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \|K\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|(SXS_o + S_oXS) - I\| \geq \|K\|$ but since $K = I$ we have, $\|(SXS_o + S_oXS) - I\| \geq \|I\|$. Thus $\|(SXS_o + S_oXS) - I\| \geq 1$. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let $(S, S_o) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o)$. Then $\|(SXS_o + CXC_o) - I\| \geq 1$.

Proof. Let S, S_o, C, C_o, X , and K have the following representation[decomposition] $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $S_o = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $C_o = \begin{pmatrix} C_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $K = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where $K = I$. Let $S_1X_1S_2 + C_1X_1C_2 = 0$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(SX S_o + CX C_o) - I\| &= \left\| \left[\begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} C_1X_1C_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1S_2 + C_1X_1C_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (S_1X_1S_2 + C_1X_1C_2) - K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \|K\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|(SX S_o + CX C_o) - I\| \geq \|K\|$ but $K = I$ hence we have, $\|(SX S_o + CX C_o) - I\| \geq \|I\|$. Thus $\|(SX S_o + CX C_o) - I\| \geq 1$. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $(S, S_o) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o)$. Then $\|\sum_{i=1}^n S_i X C_i - I\| \geq 1$

Proof. Let S, X, S_o and K have the following representation. $S_i = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $C_i = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $K = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where $K = I$. If we take $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i X C_i = 0$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n S_i X C_i - I \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \\ K_3 & K_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \|K\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n S_i X C_i - I\| \geq \|K\|$ but since $K = I$ we have, $\|\sum_{i=1}^n S_i X C_i - I\| \geq \|I\|$. Hence $\|\sum_{i=1}^n S_i X C_i - I\| \geq 1$. \square

Remark 3.7. It is known [78] that there exists a compact operator C such that $R(\delta_c) = K(H)$. As a consequence we deduce that the $\text{dist}(I, K(H)) = 1$, where $\text{dist}(I, K(H))$ is the distance from I to $K(H)$. Therefore if S, S_o are compact operators, then $\text{dist}(I, R(\delta_{S, S_o})) = 1$. The previous corollary shows that $\mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o) \subset F(H)$. Hence it is interesting to pose the following open problem.

Open problem. Does $F(H) \subset \mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o)$?

3.1. Orthogonality conditions for finite elementary operators. Let Ω denote a Complex Banach algebra with identity e and let $\sigma_r(\Omega)$, $\sigma_l(\Omega)$ denote, respectively the right spectrum and the left spectrum of Ω . Recall that

$$S^n X - X S_o^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (SX - X S_o) S_o^i \text{ for all } X \in \Omega.$$

If $SJ = JS_o$ we have,

$$nJS_o^{n-1} = S^n X - X S_o^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S^{n-i-1} (SX - X S_o - J) S_o^i \text{ for all } X \in \Omega.$$

Proposition 3.8. Let $S \in \Omega$, (x_n) be an increasing sequence of positive integers and S^{x_n} converge to $Z \in \Omega$, with $0 \notin \sigma_r(Z) \cap \sigma_l(Z)$. If there exist a constant λ such that $\|S^n\| \leq \lambda$ for all integers n and if S_o is the left or right inverse of Z then

$$\lambda^2 \|S_o\| \|SX - XS - J\| \geq \|J\| \text{ for all } X \in \Omega \text{ and for all } J \in \text{Ker} \delta_S.$$

Proof. Let $X \in \Omega$, since

$$nJS_o^{n-1} = S^n X - X S_o^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S^{n-i-1} (SX - X S_o - J) S_o^i \text{ for } SJ = JS_o.$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (x_n + 1)JS^{x_n+1-1} &= S^{x_n+1}X - XS^{x_n+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{x_n+1-i-1} S^{x_n+1-i-1}(SX - XS - J)S^i \\ &= S^{x_n+1}X - XS^{x_n+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{x_n-i} S^{x_n-i}(SX - XS - J)S^i \end{aligned}$$

Now the assumption that $\|S^n\| \leq \lambda$ implies that $\|S^{x_n+1}\| \leq \lambda$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Dividing both sides by $x_n + 1$ and taking norms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|JS^{x_n}\| &\leq \frac{1}{x_n + 1} \|S^{x_n+1}\| + \|S^{x_n+1}\| \|X\| + \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\| \\ &\leq \frac{2\lambda}{x_n + 1} \|X\| + \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\| \end{aligned}$$

Letting tend to infinity we obtain

$$\|JS^{x_n}\| \leq \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\|$$

But S^{x_n} converges to Z , so we have,

$$\|JZ\| \leq \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\|$$

Now, since S_o is in the right or the left of Z we have

$$\|J\| \leq \|S_o\| \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\|.$$

□

Corollary 3.9. Let $S \in L(H)$ and (x_n) be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Assume that there is a constant λ such that $\|S^n\| \leq \lambda$ for all integers n

1). If $S_{x_n} \rightarrow P$, with $0 \in \sigma_r(P) \cap \sigma_l(P)$, then $\lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\| \geq \|J\|$ for all $X \in L(H)$ and for all $J \in \text{Ker} \delta_S$. 2). If $S_{x_n} \rightarrow P + K$, with K compact and $0 \in \sigma_r(P) \cap \sigma_l(P)$, then $\lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J - K\| \geq \|J\|$ for all $X \in L(H)$ and for all $J \in \text{Ker} \delta_S$.

Theorem 3.10. Let $S \in L(H)$ such that $S^n = I$ for some integer n . Then

$$\lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\| \geq \|J\| \text{ for all } X \in \Omega \text{ and for all } J \in \text{Ker} \delta_S.$$

Proof. Since $S^n = \{I, S, S^2, \dots, S^{n-1}\}$ for all integers n , $\|S^n\| \leq \lambda$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S^{x_n} = I$, where $x_n = nm$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is known that

$$nJS_o^{n-1} = S^n X - XS_o^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S^{n-i-1} (SX - XS_o - J) S_o^i \text{ for all } X \in \Omega.$$

From proposition 1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (x_n + 1)JS^{x_n+1-1} &= S^{x_n+1}X - XS^{x_n+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{x_n+1-i-1} S^{x_n+1-i-1} (SX - XS - J) S^i \\ (x_n + 1)JS^{x_n} &= S^{x_n+1}X - XS^{x_n+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{x_n-i} S^{x_n-i} (SX - XS - J) S^i \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $x_n + 1$ and taking the norms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|JS^{x_n}\| &\leq \frac{1}{x_n + 1} \|S^{x_n+1}\| + \|S^{x_n+1}\| \|X\| + \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\| \\ &\leq \frac{2\lambda}{x_n + 1} \|X\| + \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\| \end{aligned}$$

Since $S^{x_n} = I$ we have

$$\|J\| \leq \frac{2\lambda}{x_n+1} \|X\| + \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\|$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we get

$$\|J\| \leq \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\|$$

Hence, $\|J\| \leq \lambda^2 \|SX - XS - J\|$. □

Corollax 3.11. Let $S_1, S_o \in L(H)$ such that $S_1^m = I$ and $S_o^m = I$ for some integer m . Then

$$\|S_1X - XS_o - J\| \geq \|J\| \text{ for all } X \in \Omega \text{ and for all } J \in \text{Ker} \delta_{S_1, S_o}.$$

Proof. Consider the operators P, S and Y defined on $H \oplus H$ $P = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S_o \end{pmatrix}$, $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then P is normal on $H \oplus H$ and it is clear that $P^m = I$,

$$PS = SP \text{ i.e } S \in \text{Ker} \delta_P. \text{ Since } PY - YP = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_1X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_oX \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} PY - YP - S &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_1X - XS_o \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_1X - XS_o - J \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

□

Then it follows that

$$\|PY - YP - S\| \geq \|S\|$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\|S_1X - XS_o - J\| = \|PY - YP - S\| \geq \|S\| = \|J\|.$$

Proposition 3.12. *Let $S, S_o \in F(H)$. If $S_o \in [F(H)]^{-1}$ and $\|S\|\|S_o^{-1}\| \leq 1$, then $\|\delta_{S,S_o} + J\| \geq \|J\|$ for all $X \in F(H)$ and $J \in \text{Ker}\delta_{S,S_o}$.*

Proof. Let $J \in F(H)$ such that $SJ = JS_o$. Therefore, $SJS_o^{-1} = J$. But $\|S\|\|S_o^{-1}\| = 1$. It follows from [] theorem 2.1 that

$$\|SY S_o - Y + J\| \geq \|J\| \forall Y \in F(H).$$

If we set $X = Y S_o^{-1}$ then we obtain $\|SX - XS_o + J\| \geq \|J\| \forall Y \in F(H)$ But $SX - XS_o = \delta_{S,S_o}(X)$ Hence $\|\delta_{S,S_o}(X) + J\| \geq \|J\|$ for all $J \in \text{Ker}\delta_{S,S_o}$ and for all $Y \in F(H)$. \square

Remark 3.13. If $(J, \|\cdot\|_J)$ is a norm ideal then the norm $\|\cdot\|_J$ is unitarily invariant in the sense that $\|SXP\|_J = \|T\|_J$ for all $T \in J$ and for all unitary operators.

Corollax 3.14. *Let $(J, \|\cdot\|_J)$ be a norm ideal and $S, P \in L(H)$. If S is an isometry and the operator P is a contraction, then*

$$\|\delta_{S,P}(X) + T\|_J \geq \|T\|_J \text{ for all } X \in J \text{ and for all } J \in \text{Ker}\delta_{S,P}.$$

Proposition 3.15. *Let $(J, \|\cdot\|_J)$ be a norm ideal and $S \in F(H)$. Suppose that $F(S)$ is a cyclic subnormal operator, where f is a nonconstant analytic function on an open set containing $\sigma(S)$. Then*

$$\|\delta_S(X) + T\|_J \geq \|T\|_J \text{ for all } X \in J \text{ and for all } J \in \{S\} \cap J.$$

Proof. Let $T \in J$ such that $ST = TS$. This implies that $Tf(S) = f(S)T$ and $Sf(S) = f(S)S$. Since $F(S)$ is a cyclic subnormal operator, it follows from [.] that S and T are subnormal. But every subnormal operator is hyponormal [.] Therefore, T is normal. Consequently, $ST = TS$ implies that $ST^* = T^*S$ by Putnam-Fuglede Theorem. Hence, $\overline{R(T)}$ and $\text{Ker}(T)^\perp$ reduces S and $S|_{\overline{R(T)}}$ and $S|_{\text{Ker}(T)^\perp}$ are normal operators. Let $T_o x = T_x$ for each $x \in \text{Ker}(T)$, it follows that $\delta_{S,P}(T_o) = \delta_{S^*,P^*}(T_o) = 0$. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ with respect to $H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \overline{R(T)}^\perp$ and $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ with respect to $H = \overline{R(T)}^\perp \oplus \overline{R(T)}$.

Then we can write S, T and X as follows $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $X =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then,}$$

$$\|(SX - XS) + T\|_J = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} S_1X_1 - X_1S_1 + T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_J$$

This implies that

$$\|(SX - XS) + T\|_J = \|S_1X_1 - X_1S_1 + T_1\|_J \geq \|T_1\|_J = \|T\|_J.$$

Hence, $\|\delta_S(X) + T\|_J = \|\delta_{S_1}(X) + T_1\|_J \geq \|T_1\|_J = \|T\|_J.$ \square

Proposition 3.16. Let $S, P \in F(H)$ such that the pair (S, P) possesses the $PF(\delta, J)$ property. Then, $\|\delta_{S,P} + T\| \geq \|T\|$ for all $X \in J$ and $T \in \text{Ker} \delta_{S,P}$.

Proof. Let $T \in J$, since the pair S, P satisfies $PF(\delta, J)$ property. Then $\overline{R(T)}$ reduces S and $\text{Ker}(T)^\perp$ reduces P and $S|_{\overline{R(T)}}$ and $P|_{\text{Ker}(T)^\perp}$ are normal operators. Let $T_o : \text{Ker}(T)^\perp \rightarrow \overline{R(T)}$ be the quasi affinity defined by setting $T_o x = T_x$ for each $x \in \text{Ker}(T)$, it results that $\delta_{S,P}(T_o) = \delta_{S^*,P^*}(T_o) = 0$. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ with respect to $H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \overline{R(T)}^\perp$ and $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ with respect to $H = \overline{\text{Ker}(T)^\perp} \oplus \overline{\text{Ker}(T)}$. Let S, P, T and X have the following representation. $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix}$. we have,

$$\|(SX - XP) + T\|_J = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} S_1 X_1 - X_1 S_1 + P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_J$$

This implies that

$$\|(SX - XS) + T\|_J = \|S_1 X_1 - X_1 P_1 + T_1\|_J \geq \|T_1\|_J = \|T\|_J.$$

Hence, $\|\delta_{S,P}(X) + T\|_J = \|\delta_{S_1,P_1}(X) + T_1\|_J \geq \|T_1\|_J = \|T\|_J$. \square

Proposition 3.17. Let $S, P \in F(H)$ be normal operators such that $SP = PS$ and $S^*S + P^*P > 0$. For an elementary operator $E(X) = SXP - PXS$, $\|E(X) + J\| \geq \|J\|$ for all $J \in \text{Ker} E$.

Proof. Assume that $P^{-1} \in L(H)$, then from $SP = PS$ and $SJP = PJS$ we get, $SP^{-1}J = JP^{-1}S$. Hence applying theorem AK [] to the operators $SP^{-1}, P^{-1}S$ and J we get,

$$\|(SX - XS) + J\| \geq \|SP_1 PXP - PXP_1 S + J\|_J \geq \|J\|_J.$$

Consider now the case when P is injective i.e $\text{Ker} P = 0$. Let $\sigma_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$ and let $E_P(\sigma_n)$ be the corresponding spectral projector. If we put $P_n = I - E_P(\sigma_n)$. The subspace $P_n H$ reduces both S and P (since they commute and are normal). Hence

with respect to the decomposition $H = (I - P_n)H \oplus P_n(H)$ $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_1^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11}^{(n)} & J_{12}^{(n)} \\ J_{21}^{(n)} & J_{22}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}$ and $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11}^{(n)} & X_{12}^{(n)} \\ X_{21}^{(n)} & X_{22}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to see that $P_1^{(n)}$ acting on $P_n(H)$ is invertible. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|SXP - PXS + J\| &\geq \|P_n(SXP - PXS + J)P_n\| \\ &= \|S_1^{(n)} X_{22}^{(n)} P_1^{(n)} - P_1^{(n)} X_{22}^{(n)} S_1^{(n)} + J\| \\ &\geq \|J_{22}\| = \|P_n J P_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\|SXP - PXS + J\| \geq \|P_n J P_n\|$$

applying Lemma 3[] we obtain

$$|||SXP - PXS + J||| \geq |||J|||$$

Now we assume that $\text{Ker}S \cap \text{Ker}P = \{0\}$. Let S, P, J and X have the following representation with respect to the space decomposition $H = \text{Ker}P \oplus H_o(H_o \ominus \text{Ker}P)$ $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{pmatrix} J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & J_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Operators S_1 and P_2 are injective and we have $(SXP - PXS) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_1X_{12}P_2 \\ -P_2X_{21}S_1 & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $SJP = PJS = 0$, then $S_2J_{22}P_2 = P_2J_{22}S_2$ and $S_1J_{12}P_2 = P_2J_{21}S_1 = 0$ since S_1 and P_2 are injective and their ranges are dense. So,

$$\begin{aligned} |||SXP - PXS + J||| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_1X_{12}P_2 \\ -P_2X_{21}S_1 & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & S_1X_{12}P_2 \\ -P_2X_{21}S_1 & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

Since P_2 is injective, we have already shown that $|||S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22}||| \geq |||J_{22}|||$. Applying Lemma GK[] and Lemma 2[] we have

$$\begin{aligned} |||S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22}||| &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\| = |||J||| \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.18. Let $S, P \in L(H)$ be normal operators such that $PS = SP$ and $E(X) = SXP - PXS$. If $J \in \text{Ker}E$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |||E(X) + J||| &\geq 3^{-1}|||J||| \\ ||E(X) + J||_p &\geq 2^{1-\frac{2}{p}}||J||_p \\ \text{where } \|\cdot\|_p &\text{ is the } C_P \text{ norm and} \\ ||E(X) + J||_2^2 &\geq ||J||_2^2 + ||E(X)||_2^2 \text{ where } ||J||_2^2 \text{ is the Hilbert Schmidt norm.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let S, P, J and X have the following representation with respect to the space decomposition $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ where $H_1 = \text{Ker}S \cap \text{Ker}P$ and $H_2 = H \ominus H_1$ $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{pmatrix} J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & J_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. We have that $\text{Ker}S_2 \cap \text{Ker}P_2 = \{0\}$ in H_2 . Applying Lemma 1 [] and proposition (above) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |||SXP - PXS + J||| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \|S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22}\| \\
&= 2^{-1} \|S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22}\| \\
&= 2^{-1} \|J_{22}\|
\end{aligned}$$

For us to prove [2] we start with the same inequalities before and then we apply Lemma K twice and proposition [above]. For $1 \leq P \leq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|SXP - PXS + J\|_P^P &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_P^P \\
&= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} + J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_P^P \\
&= 2^{p-2} (\|J_{11}\|_P^P + \|J_{12}\|_P^P + \|J_{21}\|_P^P + \\
&\quad \|S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} + J_{22}\|_P^P) \\
&= 2^{p-2} (\|J_{11}\|_P^P + \|J_{12}\|_P^P + \|J_{21}\|_P^P + \|J_{22}\|_P^P) \\
&= 2^{p-2} \|J\|_P^P
\end{aligned}$$

and for $2 \leq P < \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|SXP - PXS + J\|_P^P &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_P^P \\
&= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & J_{12} \\ J_{21} & S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} + J_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_P^P \\
&= 2^{2-P} (\|J_{11}\|_P^P + \|J_{12}\|_P^P + \|J_{21}\|_P^P + \\
&\quad \|S_2X_{22}P_2 - P_2X_{22}S_2 + J_{22} + J_{22}\|_P^P) \\
&= 2^{2-P} (\|J_{11}\|_P^P + \|J_{12}\|_P^P + \|J_{21}\|_P^P + \|J_{22}\|_P^P) \\
&= 2^{2-P} \|J\|_P^P
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|SXP - PXS + J\|_p \geq 2^{|1-\frac{2}{P}}| \|J\|_P^P$ and this proves equation 2 Now if $P = 2$ equation 2 becomes $\|E(X) + J\|_2 \geq \|J\|_2$ and according to Remark 1 this implies 8 \square

4. CONCLUSION

The main results of finiteness of elementary operators, orthogonality conditions for finite elementary operators and Birkhoff-James for finite elementary operators have been given in this paper.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

The results obtained are specific to finiteness of elementary operators, orthogonality conditions for finite elementary operators and Birkhoff-James for finite elementary operators in complex normed spaces.

Open problem. Is $F(H) \subset \mathfrak{C}_n(S, S_o)$ in a general Banach space setting?

REFERENCES

- [1] Alonso J., (1989). Orthogonality in normed linear spaces: a survey II. Relationship between main orthogonalities, *Extracta Math* 4, 121-131.
- [2] Alonso J. and Benitez C., (1988). Orthogonality in normed linear spaces: a survey I. Main properties, *Extracta Math* 3, 1-15.
- [3] Alonso J., Horst M. and Senlin W., (2012). On Birkhoff orthogonality and isosceles orthogonality in normed linear spaces, *Aequat.Math*, 83, 153-189.
- [4] Alonso J. and Maria L., (1999). On height orthogonality in normed linear spaces, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 27, 113-117.
- [5] Anderson J., (1973). On normal derivations, *Proc. Amer. Soc.*, 38, 135-140.
- [6] Andrew P., (2017). Functional analysis lecture notes, Department of mathematics (University of Jordan), 1-82.
- [7] Amol S., (2015). Functional analysis and its application, *Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT)*, 1-92.
- [8] Alex H., (2015). Linear functional analysis lecture notes, Department of mathematics, 9-13.
- [9] Ali Zamani and Mohammad Sal., (2013). Approximate Roberts orthogonality, *Aequal Math*, 113-115.
- [10] Ali Zamani and Mohammad Sal., (2015). Approximate Roberts orthogonality sets $(\delta, \varepsilon) - (a, b)$ -isosceles orthogonality preserving mappings, *Aequal Math* © Springer Basel, 37-39.
- [11] Ali Zamani., (2019). Birkhoff-James orthogonality of operators in Semi-Hilbertian spaces and its applications, *Math-FA*, 87-92.
- [12] Arpita Mal and Kallol Paul., (2019). Birkhoff-James orthogonality to a subspace of operators defined on Banach spaces, *Math.FA*, 8, 247-253.
- [13] Bouali S. and Bouhafsi Y., (2015). On the range-kernel orthogonality of elementary operators, *Mathematica Bohemica*, 140, 261-269.
- [14] Benitez C., (1989). Orthogonality in normed linear spaces: a classification of different concepts and some open problems, *Revista Mathematica*, 2, 87-92.
- [15] Bachir A., (2004). Generalized derivation, *Kyungpook Math.J*, 44, 68-72.
- [16] Bachir A. and Nawal S., (2020). Range-Kernel orthogonality and elementary operator, *Hindawi complexity*, 4, 1-7.
- [17] Bachir A. and Hashem A., (2004). Commutation relations for operators, *Kyungpook Math.J*, 44, 63-67.
- [18] Balestro V., Horst M. and Teixeira R., (2016). Geometric constants for quantifying the difference between orthogonality types, *Math.Ma*, 4, 1-3.
- [19] Bhatia R. and Semrl P., (1998). Orthogonality of matrices and some distance problems, *Faculty of mechanical engineering*, 26, 113-117.
- [20] Bhuwan Prasad Ojha., (2016). Some new types of orthogonality in normed spaces and applications in Best approximation, *Journal of advance college of engineering and management*, 67-73.
- [21] Bhuwan P. and Prakash M., (2019). Relation of pythagorean and Isosceles orthogonality with Best approximation in normed linear spaces, *Central department of mathematics*, 1-8.
- [22] Bhuwan P. and Prakash M., (2020). Birkhoff orthogonality and different particular cases of carlsson orthogonality *Math FA*, 2, 182-187.
- [23] Berger B., (1974). Self commutators of multiplicyclic hyponormal operators, *Bull.Am. Math.J*, 79, 1993-1997.
- [24] Cuixia H. and Senlin W., (2011). Homogeneity of isosceles orthogonality and related inequalities. Hao and Wu *Journal of inequalities and applications*, 178-181.
- [25] Chaoqun C. and Fangyan Lu., (2015). Linear mappings preserving orthogonality *Ann. Funct.Anal.*, 6, 70-76.
- [26] Diminnie R., Raymond W. and Edward A., (1983). An extension of pythagorean and isosceles orthogonality and a characterization of inner product space, *Central department of mathematics*, 39, 295-298.

- [27] Dragoljub K., (2000). On Orthogonality of the range and kernel of some elementary operators, proceeding of the american mathematical society, 128, 11.
- [28] Dragoljub K., (2004). Orthogonality in C_∞ and C_∞ spaces and normal derivations, J.Operator theory, 51, 89-104.
- [29] Dragomir S. and Kikianty E., (2010). Orthogonality connected with intergral means and characteriza-tion of inner product spaces, J.GeoM 98,33-49.
- [30] Debmalya S., (2016). Birkhoff-James orthogonality of linear operators on finite dimensional Banach spaces, Math.FA, 28, 1-12.
- [31] Debmalya S., Kallol P. and Arpita M., (2017). A complete characterization of Birkhoff-James orthogo-nality of bounded linear operators, Math.FA, 23, 1-12.
- [32] Debmalya S., Kallol P. and Arpita M., (2018). Some remarks on Birkhoff-James orthogonality of linear operators, Math.FA, 172-179.
- [33] Debmalya S., Kallol P. and Arpita M., (2017). On approximate Birkhoff-James orthogonality and normal cones in a normed space, Math.FA, 23, 217-222.
- [34] Debmalya S., Kallol P. and Jha K., (2014). Strictly convex: strong orthogonality and conjugate diame-ters, Math.FA, 6, 16-24.
- [35] Debmalya S., Ray A. and Kallol P., (2020). Some remarks on orthogonality of bounded linear operators, Math.FA, 11, 47-53.
- [36] Duggal R., (1998). A remark on normal derivations, Proceeding of the American Mathemational Soci-ety, 127, 7, 2044-2052.
- [37] Duggal R., (2004). Subspace gaps and Range-Kernel orthogonality of an elementary operator, Linear algebra and its applications, 383, 93-106.
- [38] Duggal Robin and Harte Monatsh., (2004). Range-Kernel orthogonality and range closure of electary operators, Math.FA, 143, 179-189.
- [39] Elalami S., (1987). Completely finite operators, Math.FA, 3-8.
- [40] Fathi B., (2002). Characterization of orthogonality in certain Banach spaces, Bull.Austral.Math.Soc, 65, 95-104.
- [41] Fulglede B., (1950). Commutativity theorem for normal operators, Proc.Nal.Acad.Sci.U.S.A, 36, 35-40.
- [42] Ghosh P., Kallol P. and Debmalya S., (2017). Symmetric properties of orthogonality of linear operators on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)_1$, NOVI SAD.J.MATH, 47, 2, 41-46.
- [43] Ghosh P., Debmalya S. and Kallol P., (2017). On symmetry of Birkhoff-James orthogonality of linear operators, Adv.Oper'Theory, 4, 428-434.
- [44] Ghosh P., Debmalya S. and Kallol P., (2016). Orthogonality of bounded linear operators, Linear algebra and its applications 500, 45-51.
- [45] Ghosh P., Debmalya S. and Kallol P., (2017). On symmetry of Birkhoff-James orthogonality of linear operators, Adv oper theory 2, 428-439.
- [46] Horst M., (2009). On maps preserving isosceles orthogonality in normed linear spaces, Note Mal, 29, 55-59.
- [47] Hossein A., (2011). A note on compact operators via orthogonality, The journal of mathematics and computer science, 2, 698-701.
- [48] Hadia Messaudene., (2013). Finite operators, Faculty of Economics Sciences and Management uni-versity of Tebessa, 29, 55-59.
- [49] Hendra G. and Mashadi S., (2006). Othogonality in 2 normed spaces, Mat. 17, 76-83.'
- [50] Ionica I., (2012). On linear operators preserving orthogonality, Annals of the loan Cuza University 1, 325-332.
- [51] Jacek C., (2016). Operators reversing orthogonality in normed linear spaces, Adv.Oper theory 1, 8-14.
- [52] Jacek C., (2007). Remarks on orthogonality preserving mappings in normed spaces and some stability property, 1113-1119.

- [53] Jacek C., (2000). On an ε -Birkhoff orthogonality, 12-18.
- [54] Justyna S., (2015). Orthogonality and functional equations Aequat Math, 89, 217-277.
- [55] Jeff S., (2020). Functional analysis lecture notes. Department of mathematics, 9-13.
- [56] Koldobsky A., (1993). Operators preserving orthogonality are isometries, Proceeding of the royal society, 44, 1-3.
- [57] Kallol P., Debmalaya S. and Arpita M., (2017). Approximate Birkhoff-James orthogonality in the space of bounded linear operators, Math.FA, 28, 67-72.
- [58] Kallol P., Arpita M. and Pawel W., (2018). Symmetry of Birkhoff-James orthogonality of operators defined between infinite dimensional Banach space, Math.FA, 22.
- [59] Kapoor O. and Jagadish P., (1978). Orthogonality and characterization of inner product spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 19, 403-416.
- [60] Kallol P. and Debmalaya S., (2016). Orthogonality of operators on $(\mathbb{R}, |||_{\infty})^1$, math.FA 13, 34-41.
- [61] Kallol P. and Hossein S., (2008). Orthogonality on $B(H, H)$ and minimal-norm operator, Journal of analysis and applications 6, 3, 169-178.
- [62] Kallol P., Debmalaya S., Arpita M. and Kalidas M., (2019). Orthogonality of bounded linear operators on complex Banach spaces, math.FA 23.
- [63] Kafi M., Janfada A. and Miri M., (2010). A note on Birkhoff-James in the operator algebras on Hilbert spaces, 1-6.
- [64] Khalil R. and Alkhawaida A., (2012). New types of orthogonality in Banach spaces, university of Jordan- Department of mathematics , 309-312.
- [65] Kittaneh F., (1986). On normal derivations of Hilbert Schmidt type, proceedings of american mathematical society , 123.
- [66] Kittaneh F., (1995). Operators that are orthogonal to the range of a derivation, Journal of mathematical analysis and application , 418, 868-873.
- [67] Kreyszig E., Introduction to Functional analysis with Application, Book.Canada publications,1 (1978), 1-703.
- [68] Kallol Paul., (2018). Role of Birkhoff-James orthogonality in the study of geometric properties of operators Department of mathematics, 1-7.
- [69] Madjid M. and Mohammad M., (2006). Orthogonal constant mappings in isosceles orthogonal spaces, Math F.A 21, 63-72.
- [70] Okelo N.B., (2020). On orthogonality of elementary operators in norm-attainable classes, Acta Mathematica Scientia 33, 1387-1397.
- [71] Okelo N.B., (2015). Norms and norm attainability of normal operators and their applications The 2015 JKUAT Scientific Conference, 83-86.
- [72] Okelo N.B., Agure J.O. and Oleche P.O., (2013). Various notions of orthogonality in normed spaces, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 33, 1387-1397.
- [73] Priyanka G. and Sushil S., (2020). Birkhoff-James orthogonality and applications, Math F.A 5, 1-5.
- [74] Pawel Wojcik., (2015). Linear mappings approximately preserving orthogonality in normed spaces, Banach J. Math. Anal 9, 134-141.
- [75] Pawel Wojcik., (2015). Characterization of smooth spaces by approximate orthogonalities, Aequat Math 89, 1189-1194.
- [76] Richard F., (2015). Functional analysis lecture notes, 1-97.
- [77] Sanati R. and Kardel M., (2017). A characterization of orthogonality preserving operator, 121-128.
- [78] Salah M., (2008). Finite operators and orthogonality, Nihonkai Math.J., 19, 53-60.
- [79] Salah M., (2002). Finite operators, Demonstratic mathematica, 35, 42-46.
- [80] Salah M., (2015). Range Kernel orthogonality and finite operators, Kyungook Math J, 55, 63-71.
- [81] Salah M., (2004). Non-normal derivation and orthogonality, proceeding of the american mathematical society, 133, 759-762.

- [82] Salah M. and Hacene M., (2012). The Gateaux derivative and orthogonality in C_∞ , Versita, 20, 275-284.
- [83] Smail Bouzenda., (2011). Generalized finite operators and orthogonality, SUT Journal of mathematics, 47, 15-23.
- [84] Salah Mecheri and Smail Bouzenda., (2008). Some properties of finite operators, Journal of mathematics, 197-201.
- [85] Shoja A and Mazaheri H., (2007). General orthogonality in Banach spaces, Int.Journal of math analysis 1, 533-556.
- [86] Takayuki F., Masatoshi I. and Takeaki Y., (1998). A subclass of paranormal operators including the class of log-hypnormal and several related classes, Scientie mathematicae, 1, 389-403.
- [87] Tanaka M. and Debmalya S., (2020). On symmetry of strong Birkhoff orthogonality in $B(H, K)$ and $K(H, K)$, annals of functional analysis, 11, 693-704.
- [88] Tumara B., Christian C. and Debmalya S., (2020). A study of orthogonality of bounded linear operators, Math.FA, 24, 1-8.
- [89] Turnsek A., (2000). Elementary operators and orthogonality, linear algebra and its applications, 317, 207-216.
- [90] Turnsek A., (2005). On operators preserving James' orthogonality, fuculty of mechanical engineering, 6, 23-29.
- [91] Thomas John., (2017). Orthogonality in minkowskis spaces, Math MG, 17, 1-12.
- [92] Wiliam J., (1970). Finite operators, 1, 129-136.
- [93] Yoshino T., (1969). Subnormal operators with cyclic vectors, Tohoko Math, 4, 47-55.